```
0001
01
             BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA HORSE RACING BOARD
01
02
                    OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
02
03
             ALAN W. LANDSBURG, COMMITTEE CHAIRMAN
03
04
04
05
05
06
06
07 In The Matter Of:
07
08 Regular Board Meeting of the )
08 California Horse Racing Board )
09 __
09
10
10
11
11
12
12
13
13
14
14
15
15
16
16
17
                    Transcript of Proceedings
17
                       Arcadia, California
18
18
                     Friday, October 19, 2001
19
19
20
20
21
21
22
22
23
23
24
24
25 Reported By:
25
26 TIFFANY C. KRAFT,
26 CSR. No. 12277
27
27 Job No.:
28 CHBI209
28
```

```
0002
01
             BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA HORSE RACING BOARD
01
02
                    OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
02
03
              ALAN W. LANDSBURG, COMMITTEE CHAIRMAN
03
04
04
05 In The Matter Of:
05
06 Regular Board Meeting of the
06 California Horse Racing Board )
07
07
08
08
09
09
10
10
11
11
12
12
13
13
14
14
15
                  Transcript of Proceedings, taken
15
16
             at 240 West Huntington Drive, Arcadia,
16
             California, commencing at 10:06 a.m., on
17
17
             Friday, October 19, 2001, reported by
18
18
             TIFFANY C. KRAFT CSR No. 12277, a Certified
19
19
20
             Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of
20
21
             California.
21
22
22
23
23
24
24
25
25
26
26
27
27
28
28
```

```
0003
01 APPEARANCES:
01
02
        Chairman:
                              Alan W. Landsburg
02
        Vice Chairman: Roger H. Licht
03
03
04
        Executive Director:
                               Roy C. Wood, Jr.
04
                                 William A. Bianco
05
        Commissioners:
                                 Sheryl L. Granzella
05
06
                                 John C. Harris
                                 Marie G. Moretti
06
07
07
80
80
09
09
10
10
11
11
12
12
13
13
14
14
15
15
16
16
17
17
18
18
19
19
20
20
21
21
22
22
23
23
24
24
25
25
26
26
27
27
28
28
```

0004		
01	I n d e x	
01		
02 02	Agenda Item Number:	Page
02	1 - Approval of the minutes of the	5
03	regular meeting of September 21, 2001	J
04	regular meeting of Deptember 21, 2001	
04	2 - Presentation of Resolution to former	6
05	Chairman and Board member Robert Tourtelot	
05		
06 06	3 - Application for License to Conduct a Horse	12
06 07	Racing Meeting of the Los Angeles Turf Club At Santa Anita	
07	At Santa Anita	
08	4 - Request of the Pacific Racing Association,	20
08	acting as its own agent, to distribute	
09	charity race day proceeds	
09		
10	5 - Report by the Ad Hoc Committee on the	21
10 11	study conducted by the Center for Public Interest Law	
11	Public interest haw	
12	6 - Report from the SCOTWINC Off-Site	24
12	Stabling and Vanning Fund Committee	
13		
13	7 - Concluded Race Meet, Los Angeles	46
14	County Fair	
14 15	8 - General Business	48
15	o deficial bubiliess	10
16		
16		
17		
17	Exhibits	
18 18	(None)	
19	(Notic)	
19		
20		
20		
21		
21 22		
22		
23		
23		
24		
24		
25 25		
25 26		
26		
27		
27		
28		
28		

0005 01 Arcadia, California, Friday, October 19, 2001 02 10:06 a.m. 03 04 05 MR. WOOD: Good morning. I'd like to welcome you to 06 the regular scheduled meeting of the California Horse 07 Racing Board. It's being conducted on Friday, October the 08 19th, 2001. And we're in the Arcadia City Counsel 09 Chambers in Arcadia, California. Present at 10 today's meeting, our Chairman, Mr. Alan Landsburg; our 11 Vice Chairman, Mr. Roger Licht; our Commissioner, William 12 Bianco; Commissioner Sheryl Granzella; Commissioner John 13 Harris; and Commissioner Marie Moretti. 14 Before we go on to the business of today's 15 meeting, I would respectfully request that if you have testimony to give to the board that you please state your 17 name and the association for our court reporter. If you 18 have a business card to give her, it would be very much 19 appreciated. I'd now like to turn the meeting over to our 2.0 21 Chairman, Mr. Alan Landsburg. 22 MR. LANDSBURG: Good morning. Forgive this frog 23 voice, but I have a summer cold. 2.4 I think first order of business today is the 25 approval of the minutes from September 21st. Do we have 26 any comments first? 27 MR. HARRIS: I've got one correction on Page 2. My 28 last comment there it says, "Commissioner Harris commented 0006 01 he believed the board needed to look at the application to 02 separate those sites that were strictly traditionally 03 off-site off-track wagering sites." Here's where the 04 change comes. "For the ones that were co-betting" I 05 intended to say or what I said was "commingling wagering 06 sites utilizing phone or computer wagering, to separate 07 the different types of simulcast going out." 0.8 MR. LANDSBURG: Any other comments? 09 I'll entertain a motion to approve the -- I'm 10 sorry. 11 MS. MORETTI: I would make the motion inclusion of. 12 MS. GRANZELLA: Second. MR. LANDSBURG: And we have the minutes approved. 13 14 We're on our way to my main trip to this meeting. So 15 forgive the mistakes. 16 Second item of business is a presentation to 17 the former Chairman of the Board, Robert Tourtelot. I go 18 back a long way with Mr. Tourtelot. With great respect, 19 fun, and arguments that we've had over the years, it's 20 all been fun and worthwhile. I would like to turn the 21 reading of the resolution in order to save this weakened voice to the man who has known Mr. Tourtelot even longer 23 than I have, Roy Wood. 24 MR. WOOD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Tourtelot, 25 join me, please. 26 Chairman Tourtelot, on behalf of the California 27 Horse Racing Board, I would like to present you with the

resolution. This is from the entire Board. And at this 0007

01 time, I'd like to read the resolution for the people who 02 are in attendance.

2.1

2.3

"This resolution of Robert H. Tourtelot from the California Horse Racing Board.
"Where as, Robert Tourtelot was appointed to the California Horse Racing Board by Governor Pete Wilson on October 21st, 1993, and then reappointed in 1997 to a term that expired July the 26th, 2001.

"Whereas, Robert Tourtelot served on eight California Horse Racing Board standing committees, including those overseeing the critical issues of legislation, stewards, pari-mutuel operations, race dates, horseman's organizations and bylaws, and simulcast operations.

"And whereas, Robert Tourtelot, a highly-respected attorney with a diversity of clients and interest brought to the Board a keen ability to grasp the essence of issues and find solutions that were in the best interest of the public in the California horse racing industry.

"And whereas, having earned the respect of his colleagues, unanimously elected him to the post, Robert Tourtelot served as Chairman of the Board from March of 2000 through the conclusion of his term. And as Chairman,

guided the Board and the California horse racing industry through a myriad of complex matters relating to race track acquisitions, medication and drug testing, labor, and housing and stable areas, jockey, advertising, and race dates.

"Whereas, Robert Tourtelot, at all times during his eight years as a racing commissioner, served the citizens of California and the horse racing industry in a professional, compassionate, intelligent and dignified manner.

"Now, therefore, be it resolved that the California Horse Racing Board joins with those who hold Robert Tourtelot in high esteem and expresses its recognition and deep appreciation for his great contributions to the State of California.

"Unanimously adopted by the California Horse Racing Board in Arcadia, California on October 19th, 2001."

Well, one other presentation I'd like to make to Bob Tourtelot. If any of you have ever visited Mr. Tourtelot, you know he has a wide variety of plaques and ornamentation on his wall. He very much enjoys collecting those. The staff of the California Horse

27 Racing Board would like to present to Chairman Tourtelot 28 this gavel. It's on a plaque. And I'm going to read the 0009 01 plague to you, if you don't mind. 02 "This is to Robert H. Tourtelot in grateful 03 recognition of your outstanding leadership and 04 services as Chairman of the California Horse 05 Racing Board. This award represents our high 06 esteem for your untiring support. From the 07 California Horse Racing Board staff 2001." 08 MR. TOURTELOT: That's beautiful. Thank you very 09 much. 10 MR. WOOD: Thank you. I think now is probably 11 appropriate if you want to say a few words. Is that 12 right, Mr. Chairman? 13 MR. LANDSBURG: Certainly. MR. TOURTELOT: Well, it's been a fantastic eight 14 15 years. I think I can speak for my wife and my children 16 and I. We have really enjoyed the eight years -- excuse 17 me for leaning on this -- really enjoyed our eight years 18 on the Board. Maybe a little less of the Race Dates 19 Committee last year. But other than that, I think that 20 it's probably been the most interesting and fun experience 21 that I've had in my career. And I'm not topping off my 22 career because I intend it to continue on. 23 Governor Wilson called me back in 1993, I think it was, '94, and asked if I wanted to be on the California Horse 25 Racing Board, I asked what did the California Horse Racing 26 Board do? I didn't have a clue. I never even heard of it. 27 And after he told me, I said, "Well, Pete, why do you want 28 me?" 0010 01 I don't know anything about horses, other than 02 I did own a third of a horse back in 1965 that ran down 03 at Caliente. But that's not really horse racing 04 experience. That was just margaritas and mariachis and 05 running to catch the PSA plane to get down to watch your 06 horse run fifth. 07 And he said, "Well, what I want, I want 08 somebody who has no agenda to go on the Board. Somebody, 09 preferably a lawyer, who can grasp the facts and be fair 10 and honest and who can do what is best for the State of 11 California for the horse racing industry. And I think 12 that you'd fill that bill." I thanked him, and I went on 13 the Board. 14 I never missed a meeting in eight years. And I 15 have to say that my goal -- my rule that I operated by 16 was to first get all the facts, listen to everybody -- I 17 think I did that -- to come up with a decision that was 18 fair, that was in the best interest of the State of 19 California and the horse racing industry, that wasn't 20 partial to one element or interest, but overall, it was a 21 fair decision. And I've done that. I made some enemies. 22 I think I've made some friends. But that was what the 23 governor asked me to do, and I think that's what I did

24 for eight years. Never had an agenda.

It was sometimes tough to rule against friends

25

26 who had an interest that approached me regarding their 27 interest. But I had to rule the way I thought in my mind 28 and my heart was right. And that's what I tried to do. 0011

And I wish all of you continued success. I 02 think horse racing is the finest industry in this 03 country. It's definitely a sport. And I hope to be 04 around for a long time. I hope to see live horse racing 05 prevail in California. There are a lot of people here and throughout the country who are so dedicated and put everything they have into this business. And it has to 08 succeed. And I know it will. I wish you all the luck. 09 Thank you.

MR. LANDSBURG: Moving on in our agenda, we have 11 discussion and action by the Board on the Application for 12 License to Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting of the Los Angeles Turf Club at Santa Anita, commencing 14 December 26, 2001, through April 21, 2002.

15 Jackie.

01

10

16

22

27

03

10

12

13

MS. WAGNER: Jackie Wagner, speaking on behalf of 17 CHRB staff. The application is from the Los Angeles Turf 18 Club. They have filed an application to race from 19 December the 26th through April the 21st, 2002, which is 20 85 days. This is two days more than they raced in the 21 year 2001.

The Association is proposing to race a total of 23 731 races for 8.6 races per day. They meet the 10 percent 24 requirement of stakes versus paid for Cal breed. They will 25 be racing five days per week, Wednesday through Sunday; 26 with eight races per day on weekdays, nine races on opening day, weekends, Monday, holidays; and ten races on 28 February the 2nd, 18th, and March the 2nd. They are 0012

01 proposing a first post time of 1:00 p.m. for weekdays and 02 12:30 Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays.

We are still missing two items from the 04 application. Excuse me. Three items from the 05 application. The first one is the horsemen's 06 agreement. We have yet to receive a fire clearance and a 07 finalized stake schedule. Staff would recommend the 08 Board approve the application contingent upon us 09 receiving this information.

MR. LANDSBURG: The floor is open for discussion of 11 the request for license.

MR. LICHT: I'd like to ask Mr. Lebow a question.

Jack, the biggest complaint or one of the 14 biggest complaints I hear from people is lack of field 15 size, which I'm sure you've heard more than I have. What 16 is Santa Anita doing, if anything, with respect to the 17 allocation of stalls for the big meet? Do you have any 18 new policies or any plans with respect to that?

MR. LIEBAU: Well, first of all, our field size the 19 20 last couple weeks has been adversely impacted by horses 21 being sick on the back side. I think that there is some 22 light at the end of the tunnel. If you've looked at the 23 field size in the last two weeks or so at Bay Meadows, it 24 has improved substantially. And even tonight, Friday

25 night, at Bay Meadows I think there is 68 horses, where 26 at times we're tough to have 50 horses running on Friday 27 nights. I think this is a statewide thing. 28 As far as your -- responding directly to your 0013 01 question, we're going to increase our efforts as far as 02 allocating stalls to those trainers that have horses ready 03 to run. We're also, frankly, trying to recruit some 04 horses from out of state. And I guess I'm on the record 05 so it will be out. But we are, in fact, making some 06 inroads as to horses that might otherwise go to Gulfstream 07 during the winter. And I'm not too sure that's 08 appreciated by my fellow managers at Gulfstream, but we 09 are taking advantage of a possible shortage of stalls in 10 Florida. 11 MR. LICHT: What I meant specifically is like 12 Hollywood Park, I know they mentioned they were polling 13 and printing lists of trainers, how many starts they had 14 per stall and so forth. Is Santa Anita looking into 15 implementing any of those procedures? 16 MR. LIEBAU: I thought that Mr. Baedeker and Mr. Wyatt 17 (phonetic) in doing so were extremely brave, and I applaud 18 them for doing that. I was surprised that -- I guess I 19 wasn't surprised by the reaction to it. But I think that 20 we have in the north sent letters to trainers that did not 21 make sufficient starts that justified their stall 22 allocation. And I think that we will continue to do that 23 here and make people maybe informally aware of the fact 24 that they haven't reached the standard that we had hoped. 25 But I think we need to allocate our stalls to those horses that are ready to run. 27 MR. LICHT: Right. 28 MR. LANDSBURG: We all agree. 0014 01 Further discussion? MR. HARRIS: Jack, a little premature, but do you 02 03 have any kind of plan that's being formulated how you're going to do account wagering from Santa Anita since you'll 05 be the first one potentially to be able to do it? 06 MR. LIEBAU: We're working on that. We proposed a 07 meeting the early part of November with Thoroughbred 08 Owners Of California to apprise them of what we're going 09 to do. And we are certainly having ongoing discussions 10 with the CHRB staff as far as the mechanisms and how we're 11 going to do it. So I think that in -- by at least 12 November 15th, we will be out in public as to what our 13 plans are. 14 MR. HARRIS: One of my concerns would be that 15 traditionally we sold signals to out-of-state locations. 16 We weren't too much concerced about account wagering in 17 California. But now account wagering is legal in 18 California. It will be. Will be a lot of issues to 19 address as far as if Philadelphia Park or somebody is 20 having accounts here, are we getting as much back to 21 California from out of state? 22 MR. LIEBAU: I think under the law is that if the 23 Philadelphia Park accepts a wager from a California

```
24 resident, they will be obligated to pay back the market
25 access fee just as would any hub operating in California.
         MR. HARRIS: But are you going to re-advise all
26
27 the -- like we've got a list of hundreds or so of people
28 that potentially could be a hub. Are all those contracts
0015
01 going to have to be re-advised?
0.2
        MR. LIEBAU: Yes, they will. But those hubs that
03 are accepting wagers from California residents will
    either have to be licensed or approved by the Board.
05 for one, would be surprised if we have more than five or
06 six. But maybe I'm wrong on that.
07
         MR. HARRIS: I'm just worried about some -- I was
08 listening to an ad on the radio yesterday. Something
09 about sports betting, that you would settle a sport
10 betting account by calling some 800 number. I think a lot
11 of people are not too cognizant.
12
         MR. LANDSBURG: I believe those are off-shore.
13
         MR. HARRIS: It still -- even if it's off-shore,
14 technically we're supposed to be within California.
         MR. LANDSBURG: I agree. I'm just warning you.
         MR. LIEBAU: Right.
16
17
         MR. LANDSBURG: Any further discussion of the
18 request? If not, I'll ask for a motion to accept.
19 sorry. I have one question. Forgive me.
                                               Are you in
20 agreement and ready with your agreement with thoroughbred
21 owners to present to the Board your plan in that respect?
22 I know it's still an open point as far as Jackie is
23 concerned.
2.4
         MR. LIEBAU: You mean as far as the purse agreement?
25
         MR. LANDSBURG: Yes.
26
         MR. LIEBAU: Yes. What the status of the purse
27 agreement is that the written agreement itself has been
28 executed and given to TOC. We are still in the process
0016
01 of discussing with them the stakes schedule and the purse
    thing -- the purse levels. And frankly, it's -- Santa
03 Anita is responsible for the delay on that. We're trying
04 to run some more numbers as far as projections are
05 concerned.
06
              But I would suspect that within the next week
07 there will be discussions of -- between Santa Anita and
08 TOC, and they have to get their purse committee involved
09
    in the proposed stakes schedule that we gave them.
10
         MR. LANDSBURG: In the next couple of weeks, next
11 two weeks you would expect to have a completed agreement?
12
         MR. LIEBAU: We would expect that the purse schedule
13 and the stakes schedule will be set probably the coming
14
    week. And everything else has been signed so those are
15
    the only two outstanding items.
16
         MR. LANDSBURG: Thank you.
                                     Is there a motion to
17 accept?
18
              Oh, I'm sorry. Don.
19
         MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, Don
20 Johnson appearing on behalf of the Thoroughbred Owners of
21 California.
22
              Mr. Liebau is substantially correct in what he
```

23 said. I received a copy of the purse agreement this 24 morning at 9:15, but it's executed at this time only by 25 Santa Anita. And as indicated, I received the stakes 26 schedule, purse schedule today. And I'm also requesting 27 a schedule of purse projections which can be 28 acknowledged. I'll probably have that this afternoon. 0017 01 And as Mr. Harris said, our organization, TOC, 02 is very interested in advance wagering deposit program of Santa Anita and particularly source fees and market 04 access fees. And we do want to have some understanding 05 and agreement with Santa Anita on that issue, and we are 06 looking forward to a meeting with them. 07 I'm not sure that we will have an excluded 08 agreement within one week, probably two. When I get the 09 three documents overnight purses, stakes schedule, and projection of purses, those are sent out to the purse 10 11 committee. And they take their responsibility very 12 seriously dealing with about 37 million dollars of purses 13 with this upcoming probably ten million five for the 14 stakes schedule. They take their work very serious. 15 That's Ron Charles, John Amerman, Mace Siegle, Bob Lewis, 16 John Van De Kamp, and myself. And they work well with 17 Santa Anita, but they may have some suggested changes here 18 and there. It might take a couple weeks by the time they 19 get this. 20 MR. LANDSBURG: I was hoping you would finish it as 21 soon as possible. Obviously, you have your procedures to 22 go through. 23 MR. JOHNSON: We want to also. 24 MR. LANDSBURG: Any further discussion? I will now 25 entertain a motion to accept the resolution of 26 application. 27 MR. HARRIS: I think I make a motion to accept it, 28 but contingent on the agreement and anything else that 0018 01 was outstanding that has to occur before it should be 02 approved. 03 MR. LICHT: Second. 0.4MR. LANDSBURG: We have a -- is this about the 05 motion? 06 MR. HALPREN: Yes, it is. 07 Ed Halpren, California Thoroughbred Trainers. 08 Mr. Chairman, the law also requires an agreement be 09 signed with the California Thoroughbred Trainers. I 10 don't anticipate that there will be any problem with 11 that, but so long as this motion is to make it contingent 12 on the final agreement with the owners, we would ask that 13 the contingency of our agreement also be included. MR. HARRIS: I'd add that to my motion. 14 15 MR. LANDSBURG: Thank you. Second? 16 MR. BIANCO: Second. 17 MR. LICHT: Second. 18 MR. LANDSBURG: The license Application for the 19 Los Angeles Turf Club is approved by the Board. 2.0 MR. WOOD: You have a motion, and you have a second 21 but --

```
MR. LANDSBURG: Any further discussion? And now a
23 vote be approved. Sorry. Affirmative?
         MR. HARRIS: Aye.
2.4
25
         MS. GRANZELLA: Aye.
26
         MR. LICHT: Aye.
27
         MS. MORETTI: Aye.
28
         MR. BIANCO: Aye.
0019
01
         MR. LANDSBURG: Motion is now, as I indicated
02 before, passed.
03
         MR. LANDSBURG: Moving on. The discussion and
04 action by the Board on the request of the Pacific Racing
05 Association, acting as its own agent, to distribute
06 charity race day proceeds in the amount of $69,400 to 21
07 beneficiaries.
80
              John.
09
         MR. REGAN: Good morning, Commissioners. John
10 Regan, R-e-a-g-a-n, CHRB staff.
11
              Commissioner, these are 21 qualified charitable
12 organizations, and we recommend your approval.
13
         MR. LANDSBURG: Any discussion? There is none.
          I'll entertain a motion on this.
14
         MR. BIANCO: I make a motion.
15
         MR. HARRIS: Second.
16
        MR. LANDSBURG: All in favor?
17
18
        MR. HARRIS: Aye.
19
        MS. GRANZELLA: Aye.
20
        MR. LICHT: Aye.
21
        MS. MORETTI: Aye.
22
         MR. BIANCO: Aye.
23
         MR. LANDSBURG: Again, the motion is carried. The
24 request of the Pacific Racing Association, acting as its
25 own agent, to distribute charity race day proceeds is
26 approved.
27
              Next item on the agenda is a discussion and
28 action on the report by the Ad Hoc Committee on a study
0020
01 conducted by the Center for Public Interest Law.
              I'm going to take a few moments to make a
02
03 comment here. Commissioner Moretti joins me in thanking
04 the members of the Ad Hoc Committee on CHRB enforcement
05 for their time and thoughtfulness in evaluating and
06 commenting upon three vital areas of the Board's efforts.
07
    We owe a large debt of gratitude as well to the Center for
8 0
    Public Interest Law, whose Executive Director, Robert
09 Fellmeth, and Staff Counsel, Rusty Nichols, prepared a
10 long thought-provoking study of certain activities of the
11 Board entitled "High Stakes Challenge."
12
              Based on the Fellmeth report, we ask the Ad Hoc
13 Committee, as general representatives of the industry, to
14 develop recommendations that would include acceptance or
15 rejection programs, methods, and ideas stimulated by the
16 Fellmeth report. This was the mission statement for that
17 committee.
18
              Three general areas were covered in the original
19 Fellmeth study and subsequent committee deliberations.
20 They are the Board approaches in general to security,
```

```
21 medication, and adjudication. As Chairman, I'm going to
22 assign reports of the Ad Hoc Committee to our Board
    committees. In this case, the Security Report is assigned
23
24 to the Security and Licensing Committee headed by
25 Commissioner Moretti, with Commissioner Licht also
26 serving. I'm removing myself from the committee so I'm
27 may fill other committee roles and that of the Chairman.
28 But I'm aware it ain't a walk in the park.
0021
01
             I'm going to ask the Medication Committee,
02 chaired by Commissioner Harris and served by Commissioner
03 Bianco, to undertake study of the Ad Hoc Medication
04 Subcommittee report. Some of the recommendations made by
05 the original Fellmeth report have found their way into
06 both law and regulations governing racing. Certainly
07 other ideas have surfaced that may also prove helpful.
80
             The Board's Legislative Committee will now be
09 reconstituted with myself as Chairman, joined by
10 Commissioners Moretti and Licht, both of whom are
11 practicing attorneys, to review the Ad Hoc Committee's
12 subcommittee report on adjudication.
              One last note, I hope that Medication and
13
14 Legislative Committees review the Assembly Bill 1093
15 signed by Governor Davis this weekend which deals with
16 medication and attendant regulations.
17
              I would ask that the committees be prepared to
18 present their reports and recommendations to the Board at
19 the February meeting.
20
              Are there any comments based on what I've just
21 said?
22
         MS. MORETTI: Mr. Chairman, I just -- I would love to
23 be an attorney right now, but I'm not. However, I think
24 I'm well-qualified in the public affairs experience to
25 join in.
         MR. LANDSBURG: Forgive me.
26
27
              Are there any other comments? With no comments,
28 I would entertain a motion to confirm the committee
0022
01 assignments calendar public hearing recommendations
02 tentatively for the February Board meeting. Is it so
03 moved by any of the Board?
         MS. MORETTI: So moved.
         MS. GRANZELLA: So moved.
05
06
         MR. BIANCO: Second.
07
         MR. LANDSBURG: Then the Ad Hoc Committee study will
08 come back to us in February. The Center for Public
09 Interest Law has now been approved.
10
              Moving on. Discussion and action by the Board
11 on the report of SCOTWINC Off-Site Stabling and Vanning
12 Fund Committee concerning the status of San Luis Rey
13 Downs.
14
              Do we have a -- thank you.
15
         MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I'm
16 appearing today on behalf of TOC, the Vanning and Stabling
17 Committee of SCOTWINC. Al Karwacki was sorry he couldn't
18 be here today. His wife had a serious operation. In
19 fact, he's bringing her home from the hospital as we
```

20 speak. We did have a very successful Vanning and 2.1 22 Stabling Committee meeting by SCOTWINC September 25th. We were particularly gratified to have Sheryl Granzella 24 and Al Landsburg present. And everybody spoke, 25 representatives, several from San Luis Rey Downs, 26 Fairplex, and all of the racing associations. And 27 everybody said their peace. And following the meeting, 28 we were unable to -- or we did not make any motion to 0023 01 pass any resolutions. But as you know, after MAGNA 02 raised their stall fee schedule from \$8 to \$12, TOC 03 voluntarily agreed to continue or to pay the \$4 -- the 04 extra \$4 and continue the performance fees that they are 05 currently receiving. That was until December 31st, 06 however -- and there are a lot of reasons. There are several reasons why we cannot, you know, pay the full \$12 07 08 that they were requesting. And some of those are 09 because, first of all, it costs a lot of money. It's 10 about two million dollars in addition that Mr. Karwacki, 11 on behalf of SCOTWINC, would have had to come and ask you 12 for approval to increase the rate that we are paying for 13 all of these Stable and Vanning. And that would cost us 14 one million in purses and one million in commissions to 15 racing association. That's on top of the excess more 16 than six million that we're currently paying. That's 17 three million plus -- out of purses and three million out 18 of commissions. 19 In addition, as I spoke with Mr. Pancy 20 (phonetic) yesterday from Hollywood Park, there are at 21 least 700 stalls available in Hollywood Park as we speak. 22 They have around 1,200. And talking to Mr. Halburn, he 23 said it didn't look like that many. He says he had his 24 horse stabled over there. And Fairplex, I know they're 25 not filled up. So we're running about maybe 1,000 empty 26 stalls, around 1,100 and the general between Santa Anita 27 and Hollywood we're running at about 3,100 to 3,300 28 stalls. There are always empty stalls. We have the 0024 01 costs. We have the availability of stalls. 02 The Fairplex contract terminates on April 30th. 03 And we don't know the Fairplex will even be available. 04 But if it is available, we think it's rather costly. And 05 there may have to be some adjustment there or possible 06 elimination. We don't know. But it is costly with the 07 available stalls we have elsewhere. 08 And we do have meetings planned to continue this 09 discussion. And the first one will be SCOTWINC Vanning 10 and Stabling meeting on November 8th. Then we have the 11 next TOC Board meeting, which I believe is November 14th. This would be a major issue at both of those meetings. 13 The 2002 would include San Luis Rey Downs' request, would 14 result in 365 days of stabling at San Luis Rey Downs, 15 about 320 at Fairplex, about 161 at Hollywood Park, and 94 16 at Santa Anita. The Hollywood Park and Santa Anita 17 numbers will vary depending upon who is open for Del Mar.

18

But we believe that maybe we can improve our 20 position as it is, but that's where we are now. That's all we can do until December 31st, unless something comes 21 up at one of these other meetings. I know the TOC Board 22 23 would not support the kind of request that San Luis Rey 24 Downs has made at this time. It's too costly and not 25 necessary. 2.6 MR. LANDSBURG: Would you clarify? Somewhere in 27 your discussion you mentioned a figure on continuation 28 for the year. Was that of the San Luis Rey Downs? 0025 0.1 MR. JOHNSON: Was that two million dollars? 02 MR. LANDSBURG: Yes. 03 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. In other words, yes. That's 04 primarily the result of asking for the increase of two 05 million dollars. 06 MR. LANDSBURG: Right. But just trying to focus it. 07 MR. HARRIS: Is that two or three months, or is that 08 annualized, or how is two million? 09 MR. JOHNSON: That's annualized. 10 MR. LANDSBURG: Further discussion? MR. BURGE: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, Doug Burge 11 12 of the California Thoroughbred Breeders Association. I 13 just have a few comments on this matter. 14 We don't oppose a subsidy to provide ample 15 stabling opportunity for horses that are in active 16 training, but I would like to stress horses that are in 17 active training. We have some concerns that some horses 18 are, indeed, laid up at some of the subsidized facilities 19 and in some instances even broke at these facilities. And you can see obviously this is detrimental to the 21 thoroughbred farms in California who are operating at a 22 disadvantage when, in fact, they're not subsidized. 23 I think maybe the solution would be some 24 mechanism so that we could either screen or approve that 25 horses are, indeed, in active training at these 26 locations. 27 MR. LANDSBURG: Any further? Thank you. Any 28 further discussion? 0026 01 MS. ROSIER: Can you hear me? Can you see me? 02 MR. LANDSBURG: Barely. But you certainly have our 03 respect. 04 MS. ROSIER: I wanted to comment on Mr. Burge's 05 comment first before I start with mine. I think it's a 06 good idea. I really do. I think all of the San Luis Rey 07 Downs Horsemen's Organization would be for screening 08 horses. The only reason we're here is to request equality. And I wanted to make sure with Mr. Johnson that 10 we have our numbers correct. From the SCOTWINC meeting we 11 were under the impression that it was going to be \$700,000 12 more that we would need to take from purse money. That 13 was our impression. So I want to make sure that we all --14 maybe we could get those numbers in writing so that we're 15 all on the same page. 16 And I didn't introduce myself. I'm sorry. My 17 name is Laura Rosier. I'm representing the San Luis Rey

18 Downs Horsemen's Organization. I'm the Vice President. 19 This is Wayne Baker, the President. The San Luis Rey 20 Downs Horsemen's Organization would like to thank the CHRB and other racing organizations that have considered 22 our cause, which is equal stable funding.

23 We know that our issue has caused considerable 24 thought and discussion. We think that is a good thing, 25 and in the end, it will produce growth in our industry. I'd like to show you our charts. We bring our charts 2.6 27 because we heard they were popular. This was our last 28 full meet for Del Mar. And I guess they're pretty 0027

01 self-explanatory. If you're looking for ready-to-run 02 horses, I think we're showing that we have ready-to-run 03 horses. And from our understanding, that was what the 04 SCOTWINC program was intended for, ready-to-run horses.

05

13

18 19

20

03

07

There was some question about whether San Luis 06 Rey would continue with the high numbers after Del Mar. 07 Let me say, Del Mar is our favorite meet. It's our home 08 town, and we do run a lot of horses at Del Mar, slow down 09 a tad during Hollywood, but our numbers are still pretty 10 impressive. They're as high as Santa Anita's were during 11 the Del Mar meet. We're at 18 percent right now. So --12 let me see.

We understand that the TOC is hesitant to move 14 forward on the issue of equality in the SCOTWINC fund. The 15 excuse being possible future modifications in the program. 16 The San Luis Rey Down Horsemen's Organization respectfully 17 requests that the Board take action to include us equally in this fund now while the TOC continues to look into the future ideas for change.

We're not -- we're not against change. But in 21 the meantime, we think that equality shouldn't wait. 22 It's waited -- we've been waiting for a long time. Also 23 some things that I think it's important to consider is 24 that you all know at this time -- I didn't see the 25 article, but I understand there is 300 to 400 sick horses 26 at Santa Anita. This is something that I think should be 27 considered. The epidemic that happen with people can 28 happen with horses. The more facilities that we have in 0028

01 separate areas, the more chances we have to keep these 02 things isolated.

Also, Mr. Chillingworth made an important point 04 at the SCOTWINC meeting that a major complaint is the 05 condition of the tracks; too much working over the 06 tracks, too many horses on the tracks, too much congestion on the tracks. So would it be wise to cut off 08 another facility when we need it?

09 Oh, the other day over our PA system we were 10 repeatedly paged to enter horses if there was a shortage on the field. And our trainers were called to fill the 11 12 races. I think that's an important point to make. All of 13 your horse owners know how it is to have a horse sitting 14 on a race ready to go, and you got a four-horse field, and 15 they say if you can get another horse in, your horse gets 16 to run. A lot of times our facilities are the ones that

17 make those races go. Sometimes we even win them. 18 The reason why we want to get this taken care 19 of as soon as possible is that, as you know, SCOTWINC 20 would have to prepare the numbers and request from you, 21 the small extra funding that it would take to equally 22 subsidize us. 2.3 MR. LICHT: I have a question. I'm personally 24 against starter fees. I think that would be a terrible 25 thing for the game. And I see your statistics here. You 26 were talking about sometimes you even win. Do you have 27 statistics on the success rate? How many wins at Del Mar 28 came from San Luis Rey? 0029 01 MS. ROSIER: He wins a lot of them. That's a good 02 question. I feel that we would probably be comparable to 03 anyone else in the business. 04 MR. LICHT: I think it would be an interesting thing 05 to see. 06 MS. ROSIER: Yeah, it would be. But does that 07 matter? Are we counting at any other facility? I know 08 we win our fair share of races. It seems like when 09 you're on a role, you're on a role. You win a whole 10 bunch of them. I feel we did well at Del Mar as we will 11 do at Hollywood Park. We did our fair share. We aren't 12 a bunch of --13 MR. LICHT: That might be interesting to compare the 14 wins that come from Fairplex or Hollywood during the --15 San Luis Rey compared --16 MS. ROSIER: I don't know why that would be 17 relevant --18 MR. LICHT: To see how competitive the horses are. 19 In other words, are we just trying to get horses in 20 races? Are we trying to get competitive horses in races? 21 MS. ROSIER: Our horses are high caliber. We run in 22 allowance races. I believe Wayne has one in the stakes 23 race today. Yeah. He has one in the stakes race today. 24 See how he runs. Maybe we can use that for --MR. LICHT: I'd like to see those statistics if you 26 have those available. 2.7 MS. ROSIER: I think that's kind of -- if you think 28 about it, for 400 or \$450 to come all the way down here 0030 01 just to fill a race for you guys, I don't think it would pencil out. I mean, we don't run to run last. It's a 03 long day to travel all the way down here to go through all 04 the rigamarole of running. I don't think that -- you 05 know, at the SCOTWINC meeting they said for the other 06 facility, they are paying somewhere around \$3,000 per 07 start when they figure out all the costs. If we were 08 getting \$3,000, I mean, that might be an incentive for 09 somebody to run no matter where they run. But at that 10 cost, it doesn't even cover -- especially if you bring 11 your horse up the day before and stay in a hotel and have 12 to eat out. And it gets pretty expensive traveling up 13 this way. I know some people have talked about let's be 14 equal, but let's all go to starter fee. That's a 15 thought. Or let's be equal and let's not have any

16 funding. Used to be that way. 17 I think we've taken incentive away from 18 trainers to be running and winning races too because it 19 used to be if you didn't have winners, you didn't get 20 stalls. And nowadays, it's just all, you know, free for 21 whoever wants to live here. People make choices and a 22 lot of reasons -- I make my choice because of my family. 23 Other people make it because it's cheaper here. Does 24 that -- it doesn't sound like the right reason to me. 25 MR. LANDSBURG: If you have nothing more to add, I'd 26 like to entertain further discussion. Is there a further 27 discussion of this? 28 MR. WOOD: Mr. Chairman. 0031 01 Mr. Johnson, could you tell me when the next 02 SCOTWINC meeting, what the date of that committee is? 03 MR. JOHNSON: November 8th. 04 MR. WOOD: At that time will the SCOTWINC Committee 05 determine what their plans for 2002 as far as for the 06 whole state, the whole southern part of California? 07 MR. JOHNSON: Well, I can't say for sure. I think 08 there would be some chance they could. At least -- or on 09 the other hand, we have a TOC Board meeting on the 14th. 10 We would like have some input probably but --11 MR. LANDSBURG: What meeting did you have on the 12 14th? 13 MR. JOHNSON: TOC. Sorry. 14 On the other issue of the million dollars, 15 Mr. Karwacki did leave me a message on the telephone that there would be -- if the San Luis program is \$12 a day, 16 17 it was to be fully paid by the Stabling and Vanning Fund, 18 it would be request two million dollars additional from 19 that fund; one million for purses, one million for the 20 tracks. 21 I think April 30th is a critical day in a way 22 for Fairplex. There is some thought whether or not 23 they're necessary. And I believe their cost may be too 24 high at the present time based on their number of starts 25 as compared to San Luis Rey Downs. I don't think I would 26 certainly take any action beyond that date because I'm 27 not certain what's going to transpire with respect to 28 that or any other facility. 0032 01 MR. WOOD: But what will be transpired between now 02 and November 30th? The next California Horse Racing 03 Board meeting is a meeting from TOC Board and SCOTWINC 04 Vanning Committee for a plan to get you through the first 05 four months of 2002, at least through April. 06 MR. JOHNSON: I would like -- yes. I do believe 07 that. Let's put it that way. Through April 30th. MR. HARRIS: As I understand it too, the Board is 8 0 09 kind of a court of appeals. But basically, the decision 10 of what's going to happen is made by SCOTWINC. And I 11 guess that can be appealed to the Board but you really 12 rely -- you have to have something to appeal. 13 MR. WOOD: That's the point I was trying to get. On 14 November the 30th, you have some plans as to what the

```
15 program is going to be. Whether there was an appeal to
16 that plan, it would be heard at that date; is that
17
    correct?
         MR. JOHNSON: That is true. If we have a plan, I
18
19 don't know beyond April 30th at this time, but we should
20 have a plan.
2.1
         MR. LANDSBURG: Are you recommending, then, that
2.2
    they continue the payments to San Luis until April 30 so
23
    they can stay in business?
24
         MR. JOHNSON: I'm not recommending that at this
25 meeting because the Board hasn't authorized me to say
26 that. But I don't believe that's too unreasonable.
27 Let's put it that way.
         MR. WOOD: In your comments, can the Board say you
0033
01 authorize you to pay them --
         MR. JOHNSON: December 30.
0.2
03
         MR. HARRIS: The Board doesn't pay anything?
04
         MR. WOOD: But the SCOTWINC Board has authorized --
05 agreed to pay until the end of December --
         MR. JOHNSON: That is correct.
07
         MR. WOOD: -- for the current program; correct?
         MR. JOHNSON: That is correct.
8 0
09
         MR. WOOD: But then you're going to meet in November
10 to talk about what you're going to do next year?
11
         MR. JOHNSON: That's correct.
12
         MR. WOOD: If that was not acceptable to anyone or
13 someone wants to appeal, they would November the 30th.
14 You may be asking this Board for additional funds like
15 you have sometimes in the past, if you decide that's what
16
    you need to do because you have to get those approved by
17
    this board.
18
         MR. JOHNSON: Absolutely.
19
         MR. BAKER: Wayne Baker, president, San Luis Rey
20 Horsemen's Association.
              The actual figure is closer to $700,000 and not
2.1
22 a million. And it actually works out to only $300 per
23 race, which is really not that much money.
         MR. LANDSBURG: Any comment here?
24
2.5
         MR. HARRIS: Which actual figure are you talking
26 about? To make the $12 amount or $4?
27
         MR. BAKER: To pay in incremental costs, it's
28 $700,000. That would only lower the purses $300 per
0034
01 race, and $300 per race is not that much money. I don't
02 think any owner in California would quibble about $300.
03 I know I wouldn't.
04
         MR. LANDSBURG: From my recollection, Ad Hoc -- the
05 Stabling and Vanning Committee, there is a larger question
06 than the $300 per race, and we're going to see hopefully
07
    at the end of November a proposal that solidifies at least
08 for the time being until all facts are in. I would hope
09 to see that recommendation on the 30th so that we can't --
10 I don't think it's fair and proper to San Luis Rey not to
11 make sure that -- or assure them that they can go on if
12 that -- I take it what would happen if the incremental
13 cost was not met? Is there a San Luis Rey comment on
```

14 that? 15 MR. BAKER: I guess we'd have to close the doors, and 16 then you'd lose another 450 horses to fill the race. If 17 you look on the card today, there is a race of seven 18 horses in it. Three of those horses are from San Luis. 19 Without those horses, you wouldn't have had another race. MR. LANDSBURG: I was just posing the question so it 21 would be open in public. I wasn't suggesting that we'd do 22 that. 23 Other comments, please? 24 MR. SIMCON: Sam Simcon, licensed thoroughbred 25 trainer in the State of California, stabled at San Luis 26 Rey Downs. 27 I think the main point that we ask for down 28 there is equality. As far as you all are concerned, we 0035 01 are treated equal. We have to be licensed. We have to 02 have licensed employees. The facility itself has to meet 03 the Board's standards. As far as the Stabling and 04 Vanning is concerned, we are treated equally for Vanning. 05 But yet, we're not treated equally for Stabling. I don't 06 understand. And that is our main issue of -- we don't care what it turns out to be, as long as we are treated 07 08 like everybody else. MR. LANDSBURG: We respect that opinion. However, 09 10 the broad decision at the moment rests with the 11 committee, the Stabling and Vanning Committee, which has 12 got to come back with a report to us as to what they want 13 to be done and then we can pass on. 14 Yes, Jack. 15 MR. BROWN: Jack Lee Brown from Magna. We keep 16 talking about the Stabling and Vanning Committee. We talk 17 sometimes about SCOTWINC. But in actuality, we're talking 18 about the Thoroughbred Owners of California because 19 without an affirmative vote from Thoroughbred Owners of 20 California, nothing can happen. I have to say that being 21 a new person in Southern California, I don't quite 22 understand how SCOTWINC votes different on the Stabling 23 and Vanning Fund, but that's something that's historic 24 before my time. In Northern California, the Stabling and 25 Vanning Fund is disbursed in the same manner that the 26 NOTWINC is as far as votes and director of NOTWINC is 27 concerned. But in Southern California, this is a decision 28 entirely within the hands of this Thoroughbred Owners of 0036 01 California for whatever reason. 0.2 MR. HARRIS: How are the votes? Is it a 50/50 vote, 03 or what are the votes on SCOTWINC Board? MR. BROWN: As far as I know, perhaps, I'm not the 05 one to respond because I really don't know. But I think 06 that the only vote in SCOTWINC that's 50/50 is with the 07 Stabling and Vanning Fund. 8 0 MR. HARRIS: Other votes are other different 09 proportion because I thought --10 MR. BROWN: Yes. 11 MR. JOHNSON: Don Johnson on the Stabling and 12 Vanning Committee. TOC does have 50 percent of the votes

13 and the other racing associations and parties involved 14 have the remaining 50 percent. There are very many other committees involved in -- let's say TOC had 20 percent of 15 the votes 15 or 20, and racing associations are in 17 control of those particular committees as far as that 18 goes. 19 It was felt at the time of formation of 20 SCOTWINC when all this was done that these racing 21 associations would have more votes than those committees. 22 But when it came to the Stabling and Vanning Committee, 23 it related more to owners, and racing associations all 24 agreed that it was appropriate that 50 percent of the 25 votes be with TOC. HBPA at that time. MS. ROSIER: At the SCOTWINC meeting, my impression 27 at the end of the meeting when we went around the table to 28 the voters on the SCOTWINC Board -- and I may be wrong --0037 01 but my impression was that even though there are several 02 of them that did not care for how this SCOTWINC money is 03 being distributed, they all agreed that equality is the 04 vote. That's the most important, that we do deserve 05 equality. That's the impression I got from the other 06 voters on the SCOTWINC Board. 07 But TOC still took a stand that they don't agree 08 with us being equally funded. So it's a little hard -- I 09 mean, it's impossible. We're at a stalemate in my 10 opinion. 11 And another important point I want to make 12 because I think it's very important. Since SCOTWINC was initiated, we have now produced the fund and equal 13 proportion to every other horseman that runs horses in 15 Southern California. But we have not received equal 16 proportion of that fund. And I think that's wrong. 17 don't know how we can continue to act like that's okay. 18 If we aren't doing our job, if we're doing something 19 wrong, if there was a reason, I could go home and say 20 there is a reason. But I can't get anybody to tell me what the reason for treating us unequally would be. 22 MR. LANDSBURG: I believe it comes to money. 2.3 MS. ROSIER: But still, it's not fair to treat one 24 group of people different than the other. MR. LANDSBURG: As my mother used to say, sometimes 26 life isn't fair. 27 MS. ROSIER: But -- that's true, but I'm going to 28 keep fighting for equality. 0038 01 MR. HARRIS: I agree with equality. Although, I 02 think any horseman is stabled at the track that is operating is not really a recipient of the SCOTWINC funds. 04 He's a recipient if he's at a track that's -- like if he was at Hollywood Park, he'd get SCOTWINC funds. 05 Anita, there is no SCOTWINC funds going to Santa Anita. 07 So it's not really saying that any horse -- some horsemen 08 are getting those funds, and some aren't. MR. CAPESTRO: Good morning. 10 MR. LANDSBURG: Please identify yourself for the

11 reporter.

MR. CAPESTRO: I'm going to. My name is Andrew 13 Capestro. I'm the retained attorney for the San Luis 14 Rey Down Horsemen's Association. I'm also a horse owner. My wife is a trainer at San Luis Rey Downs, and we are 15 16 running a horse today. So I have interest in this every 17 way, because not only does it cost us as trainers, it 18 costs me as an owner, and it's a question of expanding 19 facilities. And I'm speaking today because it's very 20 hard for an attorney just to sit down and say I'm here and can't say anything. So I thought, well, I will say 22 something.

2.3

27

0039

06

13

17

19

0040

03

04

I was looking at the Code section that provided 24 the funds, and the funds are for Board-approved auxiliary 25 training facility, which SLRD is. That's Business and 26 Professions Code Section 19607. And it talks about a fund to provide reimbursement for Off-Site Stabling and for 28 vanning. It doesn't say partial vanning. We get full

01 vanning. It doesn't say partial reimbursement. And I 02 believe they have a very good argument for full 03 reimbursement, not only because it's fair, but we're 04 trying to make sure that live racing succeeds in 05 California. Funding San Luis Rey Downs will help that.

We were talking earlier about field size and how we 07 have to recruit horses from out of state. Obviously, the 08 field size is affected by the horses that are coming from 09 San Luis Rey Downs. When you have illness at places like 10 Hollywood Park, it's affected. We have well horses. If 11 we have a sickness, it does not spread to the other horses 12 there.

You have the quality of the track itself. The 14 more horses that are on the track, the harder the footing 15 than -- any of us that's been an owner before knows how 16 difficult it is to have a horse that breaks down because of bad footing. For those reasons, we should make sure 18 that the horsemen and horsewomen -- that what we're talking about. We're not talking about the owners of the 20 track. We're talking about the horsemen and horsewomen 21 because that's who it's supposed to be paying. For them 22 to be able to compete at the tracks here in Southern 23 California.

And that's why I'm joining the people that I 25 represent and on my own behalf that we request that we 26 have full funding. I understand we probably will have 27 another meeting with the fund. On behalf of the 28 organization, we would like to request that we get

01 notification of that meeting and that we be able to 02 attend. Thank you.

MR. LANDSBURG: Any further comment?

MS. ROSIER: I just have to do one more. I might 05 have heard you wrong, Mr. Harris, but I understood that 06 you don't feel that horsemen are being reimbursed.

07 MR. HARRIS: My point was -- I wasn't being 08 argumentative to your point. But just if you're a 09 horseman, like give a person at a horse stable at 10 Santa Anita today, his horse is not using up any SCOTWINC

```
11 funds.
12
         MS. ROSIER: But the ones at Hollywood are, and the
13 ones at Pomona are.
         MR. HARRIS: Some horsemen -- it's just strictly
15 stabling at the track that's running, doesn't really use
16 SCOTWINC funds. I did not say that's good or bad, but
17 it's not like everybody get the SCOTWINC funds every day.
18
         MS. ROSIER: No. That's true. At the running track
19 there's no money being spent out of the fund. But it's
20 hard to get stalls at the running track too for anybody
21 that's trying to get them, unless they have a lot of big
22 stables. But the way that the horsemen on the other
23 Off-track facilities are being reimbursed, and they are,
24 is that SCOTWINC wasn't paying this fund. Somebody would
25 be paying it, and it would come down to the trainers
26 paying it and eventually it coming out the owner's pocket.
27
28
         MR. LANDSBURG: In view of the fact that we have a
0041
01 request to hear more from the committee -- from the
02 Stabling and Vanning Committee, our action here is really
03 to say that we expect to have that report and
04 recommendations -- firm recommendations in our hands by
05 November 30th so that this Board can recommend action or
06 not. I guess that is where we are at the moment.
07
         Therefore, I suggest that we postpone further action
08 on this until November 30th. But be assured that at
09 November 30th we're going to expect a hard complete
10 agreement so that we can take action and do what the
11 Board is required to do. And I'd entertain a motion or
12 discussion. No discussion.
13
         MR. LICHT: A what?
14
         MR. LANDSBURG: A motion essentially to hold this
15 action until November 30.
         MS. MORETTI: Until after their meeting.
16
         MR. LANDSBURG: And when they can get the material
17
18 to us. Is that your -- is it seconded?
         MS. GRANZELLA: Second.
19
20
         MR. BIANCO: Second.
2.1
         MR. LANDSBURG: Therefore, we have moved and acted
22 on the report from the SCOTWINC off-site Stabling and
23 Vanning Fund Committee holding it over until
24 November 30th, the agenda date.
25
             We should vote on the motion. All in favor?
26
         MR. HARRIS: Aye.
27
         MS. GRANZELLA: Aye.
2.8
         MR. LICHT: Aye.
0042
01
         MS. MORETTI: Aye.
         MR. BIANCO: Aye.
02
03
         MR. LANDSBURG: Opposed? Thank you.
04 skipping the vote. I'm allowed a mistake.
05
              Staff report for our next agenda item is the
06 concluded race meet at Los Angeles County Fair at Pomona
07 for September 7th through September 24th, 2001.
80
              John.
09
         MR. REGAN: Commissioners, John Regan, CHRB staff.
```

```
10 This is our end-of-meet report for the L.A. County Fair.
11 Wish to point out one item. Somewhat painfully you might
    say they were expecting an 18 day meet. We only ran 17.
    The averages you see -- the summary you see is based on
13
14 average daily handle, average daily attendance, whatnot,
15 based on the 17 days this year versus the 18 days in the
16 past, especially last year. They were up in all the
17
    categories, as you see by the summary. And we will
18 simply conclude it with that.
19
              If you have any questions, we'll try to answer
20 them.
21
         MR. LANDSBURG: Questions? Discussions? I'll
22 entertain a motion to approve the report --
23
         MR. REGAN: Thank you.
24
         MR. LANDSBURG: -- of the concluded race meet at
25 Los Angeles County Fair.
26
         MR. LICHT: So moved.
27
         MS. MORETTI: Second.
28
         MR. LANDSBURG: All in favor?
0043
01
         MR. HARRIS: Aye.
02
         MS. GRANZELLA: Aye.
         MR. LICHT: Aye.
03
04
         MS. MORETTI: Aye.
0.5
         MR. BIANCO: Aye.
06
         MR. LANDSBURG: Opposed? Carried.
07
             Under the general business, do we have general
08 business coming from the assemblage? If not, we'd like to
09 take a moment.
10
              We are -- I'm new as Chairman. Most of us are
11 newer on the Board, and we haven't personalized or been
12 able yet -- we expect as time goes on, we'll brief our
13 personal staffs what we have accomplished. I thought it
14 would be important at this juncture to ask each of the
15 Commissioner for their view of racing future so you can
16 get a feeling for where we all are.
17
              John, would you start?
18
         MR. HARRIS: Well, I guess my overall view would be
19 that we've got a lot of challenges. We are showing a
20 decline in track attendance and handle. But sort of the
21 last staff (unintelligible). We've got some good things
22 coming. We've got some very good race tracks in
23 California. We have advent of the account wagers and
    television exposure there could help and we've got a lot
25 of things that can help us as we go forward.
26
              One thing that I'd like to say that I think part
27 of coming up with recommendation, something that the Board
28 can look at or kind of embark on to really protect or
0044
01 enhance the sport and business. One thing I think we need
    to do is take a hard look at the adequacy of our back
    stretch security and the need to use more technology to
04 enhance that. And I think we've been able to get by for a
05 long time and like personal liberties, not really have a
06 lot of hassles as far as getting in and out of the track,
07 or various licenses or that.
08
              I think we're at a point just in the whole
```

09 nation and in racing that we need to really have a system 10 that we feel is much better than what we probably have 11 now. I'm not sure how we would do this, if we get a panel or commission or some outside person that -- group to come 12 13 in to take a look at it or what. But I think we really 14 need to spend more money and put more emphasis on back 15 stretch security. One way to fund it maybe would be with 16 some of the SCOTWINC money. If they are spending six 17 million a year, how much TV cameras can you by for six 18 million? You can have one on every person in the back 19 stretch. I think also a lot of technology that doesn't 20 mean still the old-fashioned people are also going to be 21 part of the solution to any security. But I'd like to see 22 the Board kind of reinvent our whole back stretch 23 security. 24 MR. LANDSBURG: You'll have the security meeting on 25 the Fellmeth report, which touches on and may be able to 26 amplify. 27 Sheryl. 2.8 MS. GRANZELLA: I was kind of glad when Bob Tourtelot 0045 01 said when he was approached by Governor Wilson he wasn't that familiar with horse racing. My family has had 03 horses. We haven't had any in recent years. So I'm --04 I'm still learning. After -- even after two years, I'm 05 still learning a lot. 06 I'm very impressed with this industry. Like I 07 said, I wasn't that familiar with it still, and I'm still 08 learning. So I have talked to a lot of people in the last two years about racing, and I'm proud to say that 09 California has got a fine reputation. I just wish we 11 could get more people to the tracks and get more horses on 12 the tracks. And that's about it. I'm committed to trying 13 to help the industry any way I can. And I'm sure by the 14 time I'm done with it all, I'll know a little bit more 15 than I do today. 16 MR. LANDSBURG: Commissioner Licht. 17 MR. LICHT: First of all, I think Alan Landsburg's 18 idea sort of humanizing this meeting is really important. 19 The thing that struck me the most in my few months as a 20 commissioner, there is so much starch to them, that people 21 can't always express themselves about the things they 22 want. I think this is going to help a lot. 23 personally, as many of you in the audience know, love this 24 sport. I think it has a very bright future. And I know 25 that gaming and gambling really drives a sport, but I 26 think it's the sport that makes us unique. And I would 27 really like to see a return to the sport and try to 28 emphasize that in our promotions and in our role as 0046 01 regulators. I think that that will do a lot to improve 02 the game. 03 As far as my own personal role, I feel like 04 what I can do is open the Board up to being a conduit for 05 suggestions, questions, problems from the racing 06 community in general. I think that -- I've been talking 07 to the horsemen, fans, labor, breeders, track management,

08 all of those segments, and I think that it's important we 09 keep our ears open to every segment of the industry. 10 like I say, I definitely see a bright future for the 11 business of horse racing, and most of all, the sport of 12 horse racing.

MR. LANDSBURG: Commissioner.

MS. MORETTI: To follow up on what Roger just said, 15 it is those different components together that make up 16 the horse racing industry; the labor unions, the managements, our trainers, our owners, our jockeys, 18 et cetera, et cetera. It doesn't work if any one part 19 isn't functioning well. So I think that it's our job as 20 we're charged to promote horse racing in stake and to 21 protect the public, that we have to be very mindful of all 22 of those components, and everyone must be given their due 23 respect.

There are a few issues that I think I've shared 25 with a number of you that I'm concerned about and would 26 like to see us move more forward on over the next couple 27 of years. One is my shared commitment, as John Harris 28 just said, to improving the security of the back stretch.

I think that that's tied in with the notion of protecting the integrity of this business, that for as much as I 03 think, it's a fabulous business.

When I talk to people who know nothing about 05 horse racing, they have a horrible perception of it. And 06 it pains me to hear what they think of it sometimes. And 07 I think that part of our job in promoting the industry as 08 a Board is to work with all of you to make sure that that image is squashed. I think there are a number of things 10 we can do in that regard. In particular, as Chair of 11 this Ad Hoc Committee, the Security Committee, I would 12 very much like to study and look into the use of 13 surveillance cameras.

In terms of the medication regulations, I think 15 that we need to come -- to get into technology and to 16 respect what we have in terms of the use of responsible 17 and sound science. I think we also need to push for 18 national medication standards. I think that's something 19 we can do. But on the other side of that, as we look to 20 new thresholds or reporting levels or whatever makes sense 21 because of sound science we also have to have much stricter enforcement of any violations. It's our job too to protect the animal and the abuse of the animal, whether 24 it's an overmedication, overuse of the whip, or this 25 horridness that happens with the sponges. I think human 26 beings involved in that should be punished severely. I 27 think -- those are things I think we should be involved 28 with.

0048 01

23

13

14

17

24

0047 01

02

04

09

14

I would -- over the last couple of months with 02 all of the date issues, obviously I suggest, and I would 03 continue to suggest, ask our Chair to focus -- or when 04 renewing the committees, to talk in terms of the dates so 05 that we actually don't end up doing the exact same thing 06 we did this year. And I do think that this whole thing

07 needs to be analyzed. I think everyone needs to be 08 treated fair. It cannot be done at the detriment of the 09 business and this industry because they are the bread and 10 butter. 11 I think that, you know, just in general, horse 12 racing has continued in the same way for more than 60 13 years in the state, and we need to reinvent ourselves. It 14 is a sport, and I think that's very, very important that 15 we recognize and pay due respect to the sport. But as I look around at sports, in the United States any way, I 17 don't think there is any sport over time that hasn't 18 reinvented itself, whether we're talking about baseball, 19 basketball, anything else. I think it's time that horse 20 racing does the same. So basically on that note, 21 that's -- I'll stop there. But those are my thoughts. 22 Thank you. 23 MR. LANDSBURG: Bill. 24 MR. BIANCO: Thank you. 25 I agree with just about everything that's been 26 said. I always tell people that, you know, in life I'm serving an apprenticeship. I learn every day. I've 28 learned for the last five months that I've been actively 0049 01 sitting on this Board. I think the integrity of the 02 members of the Board -- I'm very big on being fair to 03 labor. I didn't like to see any race dates cut. It's a 04 personal opinion. I don't know where the wagering bill, 05 that particular revenue source -- I hope it picks up the 06 sport. 07 To me, horse racing has always been a sport. 08 When I hear about, you know, people, "Well, it's 09 gambling." You know, pro football on a Sunday, right, 10 probably has, you know, more money bet on that one day 11 than horse racing has probably in, you know, maybe six or 12 nine months. 13 To me, working on Medication Committee, I think 14 that the country itself if we could ever get a standard where everybody didn't have their own little private 16 agenda, each different state, to come up with some 17 standards that I feel are realistic. 18 I'm a horse owner, but I've only been for three 19 and a half years. I enjoy the sport. I enjoy meeting 20 the people. I'd like to be more proactive. I think we 21 have committees that people put in the time and energy, 22 and I'd like to get the industry out there. Even if you 23 have something that's on a personal agenda to get it out 24 before we have to come to a meeting and get last-minute 25 information or postpone something to the following 26 meeting because we don't have the data that we need, you 27 know, at the right time. 28 So I'm enjoying it. Hopefully if any of you 0050 01 would like to, you know, speak, you know, my telephone 02 number is published. 03 I'm very happy that we're going to have our 04 first stewards meeting. I think the stewards do a

05 tremendous job for us. There is an awful lot of people

06 that would like to become stewards. I've received some 07 applications, and I'll be very honest with you. They 08 seem like they're very qualified people. So even in that 09 area, maybe that needs to be opened up a little bit. 10 But if I should receive any call, you're going 11 to get my attention. So thank you, and it's a pleasure 12 to sit on this Board. 13 MR. LANDSBURG: As for me, I have a 60-year love 14 affair with racing. My dad took me to the first races 15 when I was seven, and I've been hooked ever since. And I 16 want to see it grow. I don't want to see it languish. 17 We have an unusual Board of people. We are 18 proactive in almost every respect. And when I made a 19 list of the things that I felt are lacking -- I mean I'm 20 looking forward -- I want -- I would like to see us in 21 some way -- I don't know how -- but co-join with the lottery. We were once the dedicated enemies of the 22 23 lottery. Why aren't we utilizing them or at least making 24 approaches to them to try to utilize the lottery? 25 I think we need a brand-new marketing approach. 26 We've got to start thinking out of the box about our 27 marketing. It's just -- it's still coming down to beer 28 mugs and T-shirts. And that's marketing that worked 0051 01 before. I'd love to see some out-of-the-box thinking 02 about how we market this sport. I think one of the things we miss most of all 04 is we have nobody to hang this sport on. Meaning, we 05 have no heroes. We don't have hero horses. We don't go out to make hero horses. We have racing on the track 06 07 hero jockeys. But I want to see racing on -- hero 08 jockeys known to the public in bigger letters than 09 before. And I take that -- I would challenge us and you 10 to try and get that to happen. 11 I wonder why Arizona has a racing school and a 12 racing program and we don't have one in California, when 13 we have a three- to four-billion dollar industry. I just wonder about that. I'd love to see it here. We could 15 start interesting people in it at a college level. 16 think we need another piece of out-of-the-box thinking, 17 which is somehow to buy our way into broadcast television, 18 not for the one minute of empty RA spots, which I respect, 19 but I don't know whether they bring in an audience. We 20 have to have another way to be permanent, regular, on 21 television just the way hockey was, just the way NBA 22 basketball was. They all grew because of it. Where is 23 that effort happening? I'd just like to see it. Where are the people who aren't at races? 2.4 25 know who's coming to races. We have demographics. But 26 we don't know who isn't coming to races. What's happened to the night workers? I remember when I was growing up 27 28 that one of the staples of the racing industry in 0052 01 New York where I was raised was that probably 10 to 02 15 percent of the audience were milkmen because they

03 finished their routes at 12:00, and they would come to 04 the race track. Where are we aiming at our night

05 workers? Where are we trying to recruit them as 06 audience? Mothers with children on their hands, yes, I 07 know the insurance is expensive. But mothers can bring their children, and you're getting two things. You're 09 getting a future audience and a current audience. I 10 would like to see that become part of racing. 11 And finally, we're making small inroads in the 12 college population. But once again, we have only that 13 limited base. We need new thinking about how to bring them in. Perhaps you want -- I once suggest a computer 15 contest for every college in this area to try and pick 16 winners and submit them to the track out of a racing 17 system that they have, and thus, beginning to interest

I think one final note. I would hope to see 20 programs starting in all of these new areas with dedication to last more than one week. We get ideas. We 22 try it once, and we say goodbye. That's not universal, 23 but it happens far too often in this industry.

And finally, my last wish list is that we have 25 a dedicated drive to bringing horses from other venues. 26 And that means spending money. That means sending people out, not once, for three weeks or two meetings with 28 trainers, but putting them on site in Arizona, in 0053

01 Washington, and wherever, in Texas, wherever we can draw 02 horses from. Putting one, two, three people on site who 03 literally live in the trainers' pockets and at least can 04 make the case on a one-to-one basis, instead of dropping in for a meeting. And most of all, I want to see us succeed.

And that's kind of my wish list. I think we 08 can move on, unless anybody wants a further comment.

Then let's go to old business. Is there any 10 old business to be discussed?

We're going to continue this meeting, but we 12 will adjourn for the regular meeting and move into executive session. I thank all of you for your presence, 14 attention. And we will return, but we will conduct no further business.

(Meeting adjourned at 11:23 a.m.)

17 18

18 colleges.

19

24

05

06

07

09

11

13

15

16

19 20 2.1

22 23 24

25 26

27 28